# The Inverse and Implicit Mapping Theorems

Robert L. Bocchino Jr.

Revised November 2022

This paper presents two important theorems in higher-dimensional calculus. The **inverse mapping theorem** says that, under the right conditions, a differentiable map between normed vector spaces has a local differentiable inverse. The **implicit mapping theorem** says that under the right conditions, if we have normed vector spaces  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  and Y, subsets  $U_i \subseteq X_i$ , and a map  $f: U_1 \times U_2 \to Y$  that is differentiable at  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ , then by considering pairs  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in  $U = U_1 \times U_2$  such that f(x) = f(a), we obtain a map  $g: V \subseteq U_1 \to U_2$  (the implicit map) that takes  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  and that is differentiable at  $a_1$ .

This paper assumes that you are familiar with the concepts presented in my paper *The General Derivative*. It also assumes that you are familiar with Cauchy and convergent sequences in normed vector spaces, as covered in my paper *Calculus over the Complex Numbers*.

For simplicity, we assume that all vector spaces are finite-dimensional over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ . It is straightforward to generalize these concepts to infinite-dimensional vector spaces and vector spaces over other fields; we just have to specify that all vector spaces are complete and that all linear maps are continuous.<sup>1</sup>

# 1. The Inverse Mapping Theorem

In this section we discuss the inverse mapping theorem.

# 1.1. Preliminary Definitions

First we collect some basic definitions that we will need to state and prove the theorem.

**Open balls:** Let *X* be a normed vector space, let *a* be a vector in *X*, and let r > 0 be a real number. The **open ball** centered at *a* with radius *r*, written B(a, r), is the set of all vectors *x* in *X* such that |x - a| < r. For example:

- 1. An open ball B(a, r) in **R** is an open interval (a r, a + r).
- 2. An open ball B(a, r) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a disk of radius r centered at a that does not include its boundary.

**Open sets:** Let X be a normed vector space, and let U be a subset of X. We say that U is **open** if, for each vector a in U, there exists a real number r > 0 such that  $B(a, r) \subseteq U$ . For example, the set of all vectors  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $x_1 \in (-1, 1)$  and  $x_2 \in (-1, 1)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Both the empty set  $\emptyset$  and the entire vector space X are open.

**Open neighborhoods:** Let X be a normed vector space, and let a be a vector in X. An open set U containing a is called an **open neighborhood** of a.

**Complements and closed sets:** Let *X* be a normed vector space, and let  $U \subseteq X$  be a subset.

- 1. The **complement** of U, written  $U^C$ , is the set X U, i.e., the set of all points x in X such that x is not contained in U.
- 2. We say that U is **closed** if its complement  $U^C$  is open. For example, for any a in X and r > 0, the closed ball  $B_{\leq}(a, r)$  consisting of all points x in X such that  $|x a| \le r$  is closed.

Both the empty set  $\emptyset$  and the entire vector space *X* are closed.

**Maps:** Let X and Y be normed vector spaces, and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a map. We say that f is **injective** if it does not map any two distinct vectors in U to the same vector in V. Formally, for any two vectors a and b in U, if f(a) = f(b), then a = b. We say that f is **surjective** if every vector in V is the image f(a) of some vector a in U. When both of these conditions hold, we say that f is **bijective**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A complete normed vector space is called a **Banach space**. Every finite-dimensional normed vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  is a Banach space.

We write f(U) to denote the set of all elements f(a) such that a is an element of U. The condition that  $f: U \to V$  is surjective is equivalent to the condition f(U) = V.

**Inverse maps:** Let *X* and *Y* be normed vector spaces, and let  $f: U \subseteq X \rightarrow V \subseteq Y$  be a map.

- 1. An **inverse map** for f is a map  $f^{-1}: V \to U$  such that  $f^{-1} \circ f$  is the identity map on U and  $f \circ f^{-1}$  is the identity map on V. A inverse map  $f^{-1}$  exists if and only if f is bijective. When an inverse map  $f^{-1}$  exists, we say that f is **invertible**.
- 2. Let  $W \subseteq U$  be an open subset. The **restriction map**  $f|_W: W \to U$  is the map f restricted to the domain W, i.e., the map  $a \mapsto f(a)$  for all vectors a in W.
- 3. Let *a* be a vector in *U*. We say that *f* has a **local inverse** at *a* if there is an open neighborhood  $W \subseteq U$  of *a* such that  $f|_W$  is injective. In this case there is a map  $g: W \to f(W)$  such that g = f on *W* and *g* has an inverse  $g^{-1}$ .

**Order of differentiability:** Let *X* and *Y* be finite-dimensional normed vector spaces over **R** or **C**, let  $f: U \subseteq X \to Y$  be a map, let *p* be a point in *U*, and let n > 0 be a natural number. We say that *f* is **differentiable to order** *n* at *p* if  $D^i f(p)$  exists for all  $i \in [1, n]$ . We say that *f* is **infinitely differentiable** at *p* if  $D^i f(p)$  exists for all  $i \in [1, n]$ . We say that *f* is **infinitely differentiable** at *p* if  $D^i f(p)$  exists for all i < 0. We say that *f* is differentiable to order *n* (respectively infinitely differentiable) if it has that property at every point in its domain.

Note that if  $D^n f$  exists for n > 1, then  $Df^{n-1}$  is continuous, because differentiability implies continuity. Accordingly, we make the following definition. If  $D^n f$  exists and is continuous, then we say that f is **continuously differentiable to order** n. An infinitely differentiable function is continuously differentiable to all orders.

# 1.2. An Example

We now present a simple example from first-year calculus. Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  be the function  $f(x) = x^2$ . Then f has no local inverse at zero. Indeed, choose any open set W containing zero. Then for any positive number a that is sufficiently close to zero, both a and -a are in W, and  $a^2 = (-a)^2$ . Therefore f is not injective when restricted to  $W^2$ .

On the other hand, f does have a local inverse at any point  $a \neq 0$ . For example, let a = 2, and let W be the open interval (1, 3). Then  $f(a) = 2^2 = 4$ , and f(W) is the open interval (1, 9). There is only one number x in W such that f(x) = 4, and that is x = 2. The other real number x such that  $x^2 = 4$ , namely x = -2, is not a member of W.

In general, f has a local inverse at any point a where f is either increasing or decreasing for all points sufficiently close to a, i.e., its derivative at a is not zero. In the case of  $f(x) = x^2$ , we have Df(x) = 2x, so Df(a) = 0 if and only if a = 0. In § 1.5, we shall see that a general map f has a local inverse at points a where its derivative Df(a) is invertible as a linear map  $\lambda: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ .

Let W be an open subset of **R** that does not contain zero. From first-year calculus, we know that the local inverse  $g^{-1}$ :  $f(W) \to W$  is given by  $g(x) = x^{1/2}$ . We also know that  $g^{-1}$  is differentiable on f(W), with derivative  $Dg^{-1}(y) = (1/2) y^{-1/2}$ . Substituting  $y = f(x) = x^2$ , we obtain

$$Dg^{-1}(f(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(x^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{2x} = Df(x)^{-1},$$
(1)

where  $Df(x)^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of Df(x) as a linear map. In § 1.5, we shall see that equation (1) is a specific case of a general rule for the derivative of a local inverse.

## **1.3.** Preliminary Results

To prove the inverse mapping theorem, we will need the following results.

## **1.3.1.** Contraction Maps

The proof of the inverse mapping theorem depends upon a key fact about a special kind of map from a normed vector space to itself. Let X be a normed vector space, let  $U \subseteq X$  be a subset, and let  $f: U \to U$  be a map. We say that f is a **contraction map** or **shrinking map** with constant c if (a) c is a real number such that  $0 \le c \le 1$ , and (b) for any vectors a and b in U, we have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By convention, we write  $\sqrt{a}$  or  $a^{1/2}$  to denote the nonnegative square root of *a*. Note that the function  $f(x) = x^{1/2}$  does not satisfy the definition of an inverse in a neighborhood of zero; for example, when W = (-2, 2), we have  $((-1)^2)^{1/2} = 1^{1/2} = 1 \neq -1$ .

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \le c|a - b|. \tag{2}$$

For example, let  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  be the map  $x \mapsto x/2$ . Then f is a contraction map with constant c = 1/2, because for any a and b in  $\mathbf{R}$  we have

$$|f(a) - f(b)| = \left| \frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} |a - b|,$$

so (2) holds with c = 1/2. Observe the following facts about this map:

- 1. We have f(0) = 0/2 = 0. Therefore point x = 0 is a **fixed point** of f, i.e., a point a such that f(a) = a.
- 2. For any point *a*, we have f(a) = a/2. Therefore *f* moves *a* closer to zero, unless *a* is already zero. Further,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a}{2^n} = 0$ , where  $f^n$  denotes  $f \circ \cdots \circ f$  (*n* times).

We generalize these observations with the following contraction lemma:

Let X be a finite-dimensional normed vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , let U be a nonempty closed subset of X, and let  $f: U \to U$  be a contraction map with constant c. Then

- 1. *f* has a fixed point *p*, i.e., a point *p* in *U* such that f(p) = p.
- 2. The fixed point p is unique, i.e., for any fixed point q, we have q = p.
- 3. For any point *a* in *U*, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n(a) = p$ .

*Proof:* (1) Choose a point *a* in *U*. We will show that  $p_a = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(a)$  exists and is a fixed point of *f*.

Let *i*, *j*, and *k* be positive integers with i = j + k, Applying (2) *j* times yields

$$|f^{i}(a) - f^{j}(a)| \le c^{j} |f^{k}(a) - a|.$$
(3)

Further,

$$\begin{split} |f^{k}(a) - a| &= |a - f^{k}(a)| = |a + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} (-f^{n}(a) + f^{n}(a)) - f^{k}(a)| \\ &= |\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} (f^{n}(a) - f^{n+1}(a))| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} |f^{n}(a) - f^{n+1}(a)| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} c^{n} |a - f(a)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-c} |a - f(a)|, \end{split}$$

where we have used the triangle inequality to move the norm bars inside the sum, and the last step follows from the convergence of the geometric series.<sup>3</sup> The last term is a constant N, independent of i, j, and k. Therefore (3) yields

$$\left|f^{i}(a) - f^{j}(a)\right| \le c^{j} N,$$

and by taking large enough j we can make the right-hand side arbitrarily small. Therefore the sequence  $S_a = \{f^i(a)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  (where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the natural numbers 0, 1, 2, ...) is Cauchy;<sup>4</sup> and because X is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and therefore complete, S converges to an element  $p_a$  in X. It is a basic fact about closed sets in a topological space that if S is a sequence of points in a closed set  $U \subseteq X$ , and S converges to a point q in X, then U contains q.<sup>5</sup> Therefore U contains  $p_a$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Calculus over the Complex Numbers, § 4.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Calculus over the Complex Numbers, § 4.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., [Gaal 2009]. Here is a simple proof in the case of a normed vector space. It suffices to prove the contrapositive, i.e., if q is not contained in U, then no sequence of points in U converges to q. Because U is closed, its complement  $U^C$  is open. Therefore there exists an open

To see that  $p_a$  is a fixed point of f, consider the absolute difference

$$\begin{split} |f(p_a) - p_a| &= |f(p_a) - f^n(a) + f^n(a) - p_a| \quad (n > 0) \\ &\leq |f(p_a) - f^n(a)| + |f^n(a) - p_a| \\ &\leq c |p_a - f^{n-1}(a)| + |f^n(a) - p_a|. \end{split}$$

For large enough *n*, we can make both terms on the right arbitrarily small, so the left-hand side must be zero, i.e.,  $f(p_a) = p_a$ .

(2) Suppose p and q are fixed points of f. Then we have

$$|p-q| = |f(p) - f(q)| \le c|p-q|.$$

If  $|p-q| \neq 0$ , then we can divide through by this term, yielding  $1 \le c$ . But  $c \le 1$  by assumption. Therefore |p-q| = 0, i.e., p = q.

(3) This fact follows from the proofs of (1) and (2).  $\Box$ 

# **1.3.2.** The Map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$

We will also need the fact that in the space of linear maps  $\lambda$ , the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$  is infinitely differentiable.

Let X and Y be finite-dimensional normed vector spaces over **R** or **C**. Let  $U \subseteq L(X, Y)$  be an open set of invertible linear maps. Let  $f: U \to L(Y, X)$  be the map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$ . Then f is infinitely differentiable.

*Proof:* Fix a point  $\lambda$  in U. We first show that Df exists at  $\lambda$ . Choose a point  $\lambda_1 \in L(X, Y)$  such that  $\lambda + \lambda_1 \in U$ , and consider the difference map

$$\Delta = f(\lambda + \lambda_1) - f(\lambda) = (\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}.$$

Fix a point  $x \in X$ , and let  $y = (\lambda + \lambda_1)(x)$ . Then

$$\Delta(y) = x - x - \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1(x)) = -(\lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1((\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-1}(y))).$$

Therefore

$$\Delta = (\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1} = -\lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ (\lambda + \lambda_1^{-1}),$$

i.e.,

$$(\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ (\lambda + \lambda_1^{-1}).$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

Substituting the right-hand side of (4) for the left-hand side in the right-hand side, we obtain

$$f(\lambda + \lambda_1) = (\lambda + \lambda_1)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} - \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ (\lambda^{-1} - \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ (\lambda + \lambda_1^{-1}))$$
$$= f(\lambda) + g(\lambda_1) + \phi(\lambda_1),$$
(5)

where

$$g(\lambda_1) = -\lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ \lambda^{-1}$$

and

$$\phi(\lambda_1) = \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda_1 \circ (\lambda + \lambda_1^{-1})$$

Then g is a composition of linear maps, so it is linear. Therefore by the definition of the derivative and (5), we have  $g(\lambda_1) = Df(\lambda)(\lambda_1)$  if  $\phi$  is  $o(\lambda_1)$ . But this is true because

$$|\phi(\lambda_1)| \le |\lambda^{-1}| |\lambda_1| |\lambda^{-1}| |\lambda_1| |\lambda + \lambda_1^{-1}|,$$

and dividing by  $|\lambda_1|$  leaves a factor of  $|\lambda_1|$  that goes to zero as  $\lambda_1$  goes to zero.

ball B(q, r) contained in  $U^{C}$ . This means that every point in U has at least distance r to q, so no sequence of points in U can get arbitrarily close to q.

Thus we have shown that  $f(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}$  has the first derivative

$$D^{1}f(\lambda) = (\lambda_{1} \mapsto -f(\lambda) \circ \lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda))$$
(6)

everywhere on U. Now we examine the higher-order derivatives. Rewrite (6) as follows:

$$D^{1}f(\lambda)(\lambda_{1}) = -f(\lambda) \circ (\lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda)).$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

The outer composition in (7) is a composition of linear maps, which is a bilinear map. Therefore we can apply the product rule (*The General Derivative*,  $\S$  7.4) to the outer composition. Doing that yields

$$D^{2}f(\lambda)(\lambda_{1})(\lambda_{2}) = -Df(\lambda)(\lambda_{2}) \circ (\lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda)) - f(\lambda) \circ D(\lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda))(\lambda_{2}).$$
(8)

By the rule for composition with a linear map (The General Derivative, § 7.6), we have

$$D^{2}f(\lambda)(\lambda_{1})(\lambda_{2}) = -Df(\lambda)(\lambda_{2}) \circ \lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda) - f(\lambda) \circ \lambda_{1} \circ Df(\lambda)(\lambda_{2}).$$
  
=  $f(\lambda) \circ \lambda_{2} \circ f(\lambda) \circ \lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda) + f(\lambda) \circ \lambda_{1} \circ f(\lambda) \circ \lambda_{2} \circ f(\lambda).$  (9)

We can then repeat this process, generating a derivative of any desired order.  $\Box$ 

**Example:** Identify **R** with  $L(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  according to the isomorphism  $r \mapsto M(r)$ . (Recall that M(r) is the linear map "multiply by *r*."). Then an element  $\lambda$  of  $L(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  corresponds to a number *r*, and  $\lambda^{-1}$  corresponds to 1/r. Let  $f: \mathbf{R} - \{0\} \to \mathbf{R}$  be the map  $(\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}) = (r \mapsto 1/r)$ . In this context we compose linear maps by multiplying numbers. So by (6), Df(r) is the linear map  $M(-1/r^2) = h \mapsto -h/r^2$ . Indeed,

$$f(r+h) - f(r) - Df(r)(h) = \frac{1}{r+h} - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{h}{r^2} = \frac{h^2}{r^2(r+h)}$$

which is o(h). Notice also that the formula *Df* agrees with the rule learned in first-year calculus for the derivative of the function f(x) = 1/x.

#### 1.4. The Weak Inverse Mapping Theorem

We now state and prove a weak form of the inverse mapping theorem. This form contains some assumptions that make the proof easier, and that we will relax in § 1.5.

Let X be a finite-dimensional normed vector space over **R** or **C**. Fix an open neighborhood U of 0 in X and a map  $f: U \to X$  that takes 0 to 0. Assume that f is continuously differentiable to order n > 0, that the derivative Df(x) is invertible at each point  $x \in U$ , and that Df(0) is the identity map  $I: X \to X$ . Then f has a local inverse at 0, i.e., there exists an open neighborhood  $W \subseteq U$  of 0 and a map  $g: W \to f(W)$  such that g = f on W and g has an inverse  $g^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $g^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable to order n, and at each point y in f(W) we have  $Dg^{-1}(y) = Df(g^{-1}(y))^{-1}$ .

*Proof:* Let  $F: U \to X$  be the mapping  $x \mapsto x - f(x)$ . Then DF(0) = 0, and DF is continuous on U, so there exists a real number r > 0 such that

$$x \in B_{\leq}(0,r) \Rightarrow |DF(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Fix such an r, and let  $W = B(0, r) \cap f^{-1}(B(0, r/2))$ . f is differentiable and therefore continuous. Therefore  $f^{-1}(B(0, r/2))$  is open, so W is an intersection of open sets and therefore an open neighborhood of zero.

We wish to show that  $f|_W$  is injective, i.e., for any y in f(W) there exists a unique  $x_y$  in W such that  $f(x_y) = y$ . It suffices to show that for any y in  $B_{\leq}(0, r/2)$ , there exists a unique  $x_y$  in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$  such that  $f(x_y) = y$ , because in this case, for any y in f(W),

- 1. *y* is in  $B_{\leq}(0, r/2)$ , so there is a unique  $x_y$  in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$  such that  $f(x_y) = y$ .
- 2.  $x_y$  is in W and  $W \subseteq B_{\leq}(0, r)$ , so if  $x_y$  is unique in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$ , then it must unique in W.

Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be any points in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$ , and let  $h = x_2 - x_1$ . By the generalized mean value theorem (*The General Derivative*, § 7.8), we have

$$|F(x_1) - F(x_2)| = |F(x_1) - F(x_1 + h)| = \left| \int_0^1 DF(x_1 + th)(h) \, dt \right| \le \int_0^1 |DF(x_1 + th)(h)| \, dt$$
$$\le \int_0^1 |DF(x_1 + th))||h| \, dt \le \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} |h| \, dt = \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2|. \tag{10}$$

In particular, setting  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = 0$ , we have

$$x \in B_{\leq}(0, r) \Longrightarrow |F(x)| \le \frac{1}{2} |x|.$$
(11)

For any point y in  $B_{\leq}(0, r/2)$ , define  $F_y: B_{\leq}(0, r) \to B_{\leq}(0, r)$  as follows:

$$F_{y}(x) = y + F(x) = x + (y - f(x))$$

The range in the definition of  $F_y$  is well-defined because

$$|F_y(x)| = |y + F(x)| \le |y| + |F(x)|$$
  
$$\le \frac{r}{2} + |F(x)| \text{ (because } y \in B_\le(0, r/2))$$
  
$$\le \frac{r}{2} + \frac{|x|}{2} \text{ (by (11))}$$
  
$$\le \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} \text{ (because } x \in B_\le(0, r))$$
  
$$= r.$$

Further,  $F_y$  is a contraction map with constant 1/2, because for any points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$ , we have

$$|F_y(x_1) - F_y(x_2)| = |F(x_1) - F(x_2)|$$
  
 $\leq \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2| \text{ (by 10)}$ 

Define

$$x_y = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_y^n(0).$$

By § 1.3.1,  $x_v$  is well-defined, is a member of  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$ , and is a fixed point of  $F_v$ , i.e.,

$$F_{y}(x_{y}) = x_{y} + (y - f(x_{y})) = x_{y},$$

so  $f(x_y) = y$  as required. Further, by the uniqueness of the fixed point,  $x_y$  is the only point in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$  with this property.

We have established that  $f|_W$  is injective, so there exists a map  $g: W \to f(W)$  such that g equals f on W and g has an inverse  $g^{-1}$ . We now show that  $g^{-1}$  is continuous on f(W). For all x in W, we have x = f(x) + F(x). Therefore for all  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in W, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 - x_2| &= |f(x_1) + F(x_1) - f(x_2) - F(x_2)| \\ &= |f(x_1) - f(x_2) + F(x_1) - F(x_2)| \\ &\leq |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| + |F(x_1) - F(x_2)| \\ &\leq |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| + \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2| \quad (by \ (10)). \end{aligned}$$

Moving the second term on the right to the left and collecting terms yields

$$|x_1 - x_2| \le 2|f(x_1) - f(x_2)|,$$

so for all  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in f(W), we have

$$|g^{-1}(y_1) - g^{-1}(y_2)| \le 2|y_1 - y_2|.$$
(12)

Inequality (12) establishes that  $g^{-1}$  is continuous.

We now show that  $g^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable. Choose elements  $y \in f(W)$  and  $h \in X$  such that  $y + h \in f(W)$ . Let  $x_y = g^{-1}(y)$  and  $x_{y+h} = g^{-1}(y+h)$ . Then  $x_y$  and  $x_{y+h}$  both lie in  $B_{\leq}(0, r)$ . Consider the difference function

$$\phi(h) = g^{-1}(y+h) - g^{-1}(y) - Df(x_y)^{-1}(h).$$

To show that  $g^{-1}$  is differentiable at y with derivative  $Dg^{-1}(y) = Df(x_y)^{-1} = Df(g^{-1}(y))^{-1}$ , we need to show that  $\phi$  is o(h), i.e.,  $\phi(h)/|h|$  tends to zero as h tends to zero.

Let 
$$k = x_{y+h} - x_y$$
. Then  $h = f(x_{y+h}) - f(x_y) = f(x_y + k) - f(x_y)$ , and  
 $\phi(h) = k - Df(x_y)^{-1}(f(x_y + k) - f(x_y)).$ 
(13)

Because f is differentiable at  $x_y$ , we have

$$f(x_{y} + k) = f(x_{y}) + Df(x_{y})(k) + \psi(k),$$
(14)

where  $\psi$  is o(k). Substituting (14) into (13) and canceling terms yields

$$\phi(h) = Df(x_{y})^{-1}(\psi(k)).$$
(15)

Further,

$$|Df(x_y)^{-1}(\psi(k))| \le |Df(x_y)^{-1}||\psi(k)|,$$

and  $|Df(x_y)^{-1}|$  is independent of k, so it suffices to show that  $\psi(k)$  is o(h). As h tends to zero,  $k = g^{-1}(y+h) - g^{-1}(y)$  tends to zero by the continuity of  $g^{-1}$ , and so  $\psi(k)/|k|$  tends to zero because  $\psi$  is o(k). Thus it suffices to show that  $|k| \le 2|h|$  for all h in f(W). But this is true because by (12), we have

$$|k| = |g^{-1}(y+h) - g^{-1}(y)| \le 2|y+h-y| = 2|h|.$$

The derivative  $Dg^{-1}(y) = Df(g^{-1}(y))^{-1}$  is continuous, because it is the composition of the following continuous maps:

- 1.  $g^{-1}$ , which is continuous by what we proved above.
- 2. Df, which is continuous by hypothesis.
- 3.  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$ , which is differentiable and therefore continuous by § 1.3.2.

Now for the higher-order derivatives. If the order *n* in the statement of the theorem is 1, we are done. Otherwise, let *F* be the function  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$  defined on invertible linear maps in L(X, X), and write

$$Dg^{-1} = F \circ Df \circ g^{-1} = G \circ g^{-1},$$
 (16)

where  $G = F \circ Df$ . By assumption f has  $n \ge 2$  continuous derivatives, and by § 1.3.2 F has infinitely many continuous derivatives. Therefore G is continuously differentiable, and we may apply the chain rule to (16), yielding the continuous derivative

$$D^{2}g^{-1}(x) = (DG \circ g^{-1})(x) \circ Dg^{-1}(x).$$
(17)

If n = 2, we are done. Otherwise by the chain rule we have the continuous derivative

$$DG(x) = (DF \circ Df)(x) \circ D^2 f(x).$$
<sup>(18)</sup>

By applying the product rule to the outer composition in (18) and the chain rule to the inner composition in (18), analogously to what we did in § 1.3.2, we can form the continuous derivative  $D^2G(x)$ . We can repeat this process n-2 times, forming n-1 continuous derivatives of G. Now we can apply the same procedure to (17), forming n continuous derivatives of  $g^{-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

## 1.5. The Inverse Mapping Theorem

Now we state and prove the stronger form of the theorem.

Let X and Y be finite-dimensional normed vector spaces over **R** or **C**. Fix an open subset  $U \subseteq X$  and a map  $f: U \to Y$ . Assume that f is continuously differentiable to order n > 0 and that the derivative Df(x) is invertible at each point  $x \in U$ . Then at each point  $p \in U$ , f has a local inverse, i.e., there exists an open neighborhood  $W \subseteq U$  of p and a map  $g: W \to f(W)$  such that g = f on W and g has an inverse  $g^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $g^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable to order n, and at each point y in f(W) we have  $Dg^{-1}(y) = Df(g^{-1}(y))^{-1}$ .

*Proof:* First we prove the theorem in the case that p = f(p) = 0. Let  $\lambda: X \to Y$  be the linear map Df(p) = Df(0), and consider the inverse map  $\lambda^{-1}: Y \to X$ , which exists by assumption. Let  $f_1: U \to X = \lambda^{-1} \circ f$ . Then  $f_1(0) = 0$ , and  $Df_1(0) = \lambda^{-1} \circ Df(0) = \lambda^{-1} \circ \lambda = I$ . Moreover, we have

$$f = \lambda \circ f_1$$

By § 1.4, there exists an open neighborhood  $W \subseteq U$  of 0 and a map  $g_1: W \to f_1(U)$  such that  $g_1 = f_1$  on W,  $g_1$  has an inverse  $g_1^{-1}$ , and for each  $x \in W$   $g_1^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable to order n at  $y_1 = f_1(x)$  with  $Dg_1^{-1}(y_1) = Df_1(x)^{-1}$ . Therefore, there exists a map  $g = \lambda \circ g_1: W \to f(W)$  such that g = f on W, g has an inverse  $g^{-1} = g_1^{-1} \circ \lambda^{-1}$ , and  $g^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable to order n at y = f(x). Moreover,

$$Dg^{-1}(y) = Dg_1^{-1}(y) \circ \lambda^{-1} = Df_1(x)^{-1} \circ \lambda^{-1}$$

and

$$Df(x) = \lambda \circ Df_1(x).$$

Therefore  $Dg^{-1}(y) = Df(x)^{-1}$ , as was to be shown.

Now we relax the assumption p = f(p) = 0. Let  $h_1: X \to X$  be the map  $x \mapsto x + p$ , let  $h_2: Y \to Y$  be the map  $y \mapsto y - f(p)$ , and consider the map  $f_2 = h_2 \circ f \circ h_1: h_1^{-1}(U) \to h_2(f(U))$ . Then  $f_2$  maps zero to zero. Moreover, we have

$$f = h_2^{-1} \circ f_2 \circ h_1^{-1}$$

By the result just shown, there exists an open neighborhood  $W_1 \subseteq h_1^{-1}(U)$  of  $h_1^{-1}(p) = 0$  and a map  $g_2: W_1 \to f_2(W_1)$ such that  $g_2 = f_2$  on  $W_1$ ,  $g_2$  has an inverse  $g_2^{-1}$ , and for all  $x \in W_1$   $g_2^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable to order n at  $y = f_2(x)$  with  $Dg_2^{-1}(y) = Df_2(x)^{-1}$ . Therefore there exists an open neighborhood  $W = h_1(W_1) \subseteq U$  of p and a map  $g = h_2^{-1} \circ g_2 \circ h_1^{-1} \colon W \to f(W)$  such that g = f on W, g has an inverse  $g^{-1} = h_1 \circ g_2^{-1} \circ h_2$ , and  $g^{-1}$  is continuously differentiable to order n at y = f(x). Moreover, the derivatives of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  and their inverses map every vector to the identity map I, so

$$Dg^{-1}(y) = Dg_2^{-1}(h_2(y)) = Df_2(g_2^{-1}(h_2(y)))^{-1} = Df_2(h_1^{-1}(x))^{-1}$$

and

$$Df(x) = Df_2(h_1^{-1}(x)).$$

Therefore  $Dg^{-1}(y) = Df(x)^{-1}$ , as was to be shown.  $\Box$ 

## 2. The Implicit Mapping Theorem

In this section we discuss the implicit mapping theorem.

#### 2.1. An Example

Again we start with a simple example from first-year calculus. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function

$$f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2,$$
(1)

and consider the equation f(x) = 1. The set of points x satisfying equation (1) is the unit circle centered at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Observe the following:

1. 
$$D_2 f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_2$$
.

2. Let p = (0, 1). Then  $D_2 f(p) = 2 \neq 0$ . Let  $U_1$  be a small neighborhood of 0, say  $U_1 = B(0, 1/2)$ , and let  $U_2$  be a small neighborhood of 1, say  $U_2 = B(1, 1/2)$ . Consider the set S of points  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in  $U = U_1 \times U_2$  such that f(x) = 1. Then the relation  $g(x_1) = x_2$  for all  $(x_1, x_2)$  in S defines a function  $g: U_1 \to U_2$ . This function is given by  $g(x_1) = \sqrt{1 - x_1^2}$ , and it is differentiable with derivative

$$Dg(x_1) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_1^2)^{-1/2} (-2x_1) = \frac{-x_1}{\sqrt{1 - x_1^2}}.$$

3. Let q = (1,0). Then D<sub>2</sub>f(q) = 0. Let U<sub>1</sub> be a small neighborhood of 1, say U<sub>1</sub> = B(1, 1/2), and let U<sub>2</sub> be a small neighborhood of 0, say U<sub>2</sub> = B(0, 1/2). Consider the set S of points x = (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>) in U = U<sub>1</sub> × U<sub>2</sub> such that f(x) = 1. Then the relation g(x<sub>1</sub>) = x<sub>2</sub> does not yield a well-defined function g: U<sub>1</sub> → U<sub>2</sub>, because for each x<sub>1</sub> ≠ 1 in S<sub>1</sub>, there are two numbers x<sub>2</sub> such that f(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>) = 1, namely √1 - x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> and -√1 - x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>.

The map g in item 2 is called an **implicit map**. In general, for a map  $f: X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$ , an implicit map  $g(x_1) = x_2$  exists near points p where f is differentiable and  $Df_2(p)$  is invertible as a linear map.

# 2.2. The Weak Implicit Mapping Theorem

As before, we first state and prove a weak form of the theorem.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be finite-dimensional normed vector spaces over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , let  $U_1 \subseteq X_1$  and  $U_2 \subseteq X_2$  be open sets, let  $U = U_1 \times U_2$ , and let  $f: U \to X_2$  be a map. Assume that f is continuously differentiable to order n > 0 and that the derivative Df(x) is invertible at each point  $x \in U$ . Let  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  be a point in U, and assume that  $D_2f(a) = I$ . Let b = f(a). Then there exists an open neighborhood  $W_1$  of  $a_1$  in  $U_1$  and a map  $g: W_1 \to U_2$  such that  $g(a_1) = a_2$ ,  $f(x_1, g(x_1)) = b$  for all  $x_1$  in  $W_1$ , and g is continuously differentiable to order n.

*Proof:* Let  $\phi: U \to U_1 \times X_2$  be the map  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, f(x_1, x_2))$ . Taking the derivative of  $\phi$  yields

$$D\phi(a) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{X_1} & 0\\ D_1 f(a) & D_2 f(a) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{X_1} & 0\\ D_1 f(a) & I_{X_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

As a linear map,  $D\phi(a)$  has an inverse

$$D\phi(a)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{X_1} & 0 \\ -D_1 f(a) & I_{X_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore by § 1.5 there exists an open neighborhood  $W \subseteq U$  of *a* and a map  $\chi: W \to \phi(W)$  such that  $\chi = \phi$  on *W*,  $\chi$  has an inverse  $\chi^{-1} = \psi$ , and  $\psi$  is continuously differentiable to order *n* on  $\chi(W)$ .

Let  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  be the coordinate maps of  $\psi$ , i.e., for all  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in  $\chi(W)$ , let

$$\psi(x_1, x_2) = (\psi_1(x_1, x_2), \psi_2(x_1, x_2)).$$

Then  $\psi_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ , and  $\psi_2$  is continuously differentiable to order *n*. Let  $W_1$  be the set of elements  $x_1$  such that  $(x_1, x_2) \in W$  for some  $x_2 \in X_2$ . Then  $W_1$  is an open neighborhood of  $a_1$  in  $U_1$ . Define the mapping  $g: W_1 \to U_2$  by

$$g(x_1) = \psi_2(x_1, b)$$

Then g is continuously differentiable to order n. Further, for all  $x_1$  in  $W_1$ , we have

$$(x_1, f(x_1, g(x_1))) = \phi(x_1, g(x_1)) = \phi(\psi_1(x_1, b), \psi_2(x_1, b))$$

$$= \phi(\psi(x_1, b)) = (x_1, b).$$

Therefore  $f(x_1, g(x_1)) = b$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

# 2.3. The Implicit Mapping Theorem

Now we state and prove the stronger form of the theorem.

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , and Y be finite-dimensional normed vector spaces over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , let  $U_1 \subseteq X_1$  and  $U_2 \subseteq X_2$  be open sets, let  $U = U_1 \times U_2$ , and let  $f: U \to Y$  be a map. Assume that f is continuously differentiable to order n > 0and that the derivative Df(x) is invertible at each point  $x \in U$ . Let  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  be a point in U, and let b = f(a). Then there exists an open neighborhood  $W_1$  of  $a_1$  in  $U_1$  and a map  $g: W_1 \to U_2$  such that  $g(a_1) = a_2$ ,  $f(x_1, g(x_1)) = b$  for all  $x_1$  in  $W_1$ , and g is continuously differentiable to order n. Moreover, there exists a real number r > 0 such that the values g(x) are uniquely determined for all  $x \in B(a_1, r)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\lambda: X_2 \to Y$  be the linear map  $D_2 f(a)$ , and consider the inverse map  $\lambda^{-1}: Y \to X_2$ . Let

$$f^*: U \to X_2 = \lambda^{-1} \circ f.$$

Then  $D_2 f^*(a) = I$ . Let  $b^* = f^*(a)$ . By § 2.2 there exists an open neighborhood  $W_1$  of  $a_1$  in  $U_1$  and a map  $g: W_1 \to U_2$  such that  $g(a_1) = a_2$ , g is continuously differentiable to order n, and

$$f^*(x_1, g(x_1)) = b^*$$
(2)

for all  $x_1$  in  $W_1$ . Applying  $\lambda$  to both sides of (2), we see that  $f(x_1, g(x_1)) = b$ , as required.

As to the uniqueness of g on  $B(a_1, r)$ , it suffices to show the uniqueness result for the map  $f^*$ . Fix an open neighborhood  $W_1$  of  $a_1$  and a map g as guaranteed in § 2.2, and choose r > 0 such that  $B(a_1, r) \subseteq W_1$ . Let  $V_1$  be an open neighborhood of of  $a_1$ , and let  $h: V_1 \to U_2$  be a continuous map such that  $h(a_1) = a_2$  and  $f^*(x_1, h(x_1)) = b$  for all  $x_1 \in V_1$ . Let  $S = V_1 \cap B(a_1, r)$ . It suffices to show that g and h attain the same values on S.

Let  $\phi$  be as in § 2.2. For all  $x_1 \in S$ , we have

$$\phi(x_1, h(x_1)) = (x_1, f^*(x_1, h(x_1))) = (x_1, b)$$

and

$$\phi(x_1, g(x_1)) = (x_1, f^*(x_1, g(x_1))) = (x_1, b)$$

and therefore

$$\phi(x_1, h(x_1)) = \phi(x_1, g(x_1)). \tag{3}$$

Let W and  $W_1$  be as defined in § 2.2.  $\phi$  is invertible on W, so for all  $x_1$  such that  $(x_1, h(x_1)) \in W$ , (3) implies  $h(x_1) = g(x_1)$ . Moreover,  $(x_1, g(x_1)) \in W$  for all  $x_1 \in S \subseteq B(a_1, r) \subseteq W_1$ . Further,  $g(a_1) = a_2 = h(a_1)$ , and g and h are continuous. Therefore there exists an open set  $T \subseteq S$  containing  $a_1$  such that  $(x_1, h(x_1)) \in W$  for all  $x_1 \in T$ , and so h = g on T. For example, let  $B_2$  be an open ball around  $a_2$  contained in W. By the continuity of the map  $x_1 \mapsto (x_1, h(x_1))$ , we may choose an open ball  $B_1$  around  $a_1$  contained in S such that  $(x_1, h(x_1)) \in B_2$  for all  $x_1 \in B_1$ .

We now show that S itself is such a set T. Choose  $x_1 \in S$ , and let  $v = x_1 - a_1$ . Let Z be the set of real numbers t such that  $0 \le t \le 1$  and  $g(a_1 + tv) = h(a_1 + tv)$ . Then Z is not empty, so it has a least upper bound. Let s be a real number in Z. By definition,  $g(a_1 + sv) = h(a_1 + sv)$ . If s < 1, then all the conditions of the present theorem are satisfied at  $a_1 + sv$ , so we can reassert all the arguments made thus far to establish that g and h are equal in a neighborhood of  $a_1 + sv$ . Therefore s is not the least upper bound if s < 1. Hence the least upper bound is 1, i.e., Z = S.  $\Box$ 

#### References

Bocchino, R. Calculus over the Complex Numbers. https://rob-bocchino.net/Professional/Diversions.html.

Bocchino, R. The General Derivative. https://rob-bocchino.net/Professional/Diversions.html.

Gaal, S. Point Set Topology. Dover Publications 2009.

Lang, Serge. Real and Functional Analysis. Third Edition. Springer Verlag 1993.